(5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3490 OF 2007

PETITIONER Sandeep Kumar Pandey S/o. Shri Harishankar Pandey, aged about 33 years, Occupation – Service, Posted as Assistant teacher posted at Govt. Higher Secondary School Mukdega, Tahsil- Lailunga, Distt.- Raigarh (C.G.)

R.B.No. 43541/07 Sunil Sahu 15/6/07

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary Department of School Education, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner , Tribal Welfare Development Bilaspur (C. G.)
3. District Education officer, Raigarh, Distt.- Raigarh (C.G.)
4. The Director, public Instruction pension Bada Raipur Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY 15/6/07 CLERK H. C. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

37

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 3490 of 2007

PETITIONER : Sandeep Kumar Pandey S/o Shri Harishankar Pandey, aged about 33 years, Occupation- Service, Posted as Assistant teacher posted at Govt. Higher Secondary School Mukdega, Tahsil – Lailunga, Distt. – Raigarh (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary Department of School Education, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Development Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. The Director, Public Instruction Pension Bada, Raipur Distt. – Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Single Bench : Hon'ble Justice Shri Satish K. Agnihotri.

Present: Shri Awadh Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State / respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 25th day of June, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is as to whether the petitioner is entitled to regular pay scale with effect from the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in W.P.(S) No. 5473 of 2006 (**Rakesh Kumar Sharma and another Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & Others**), which was disposed of vide order dated 09.10.2006.

3. In view of the above-stated submission made by the learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Amit